	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/536,078	Travostino et al.
	George L. Opie	Art Unit
		2755
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) David A. Dagg (Applicant's Representative) .	(3)	
(2) George Opie (PTO) .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2005.		
Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal copy given to: 1) applicant	2) applicant's repres	sentative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u>X</u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3, 9, 12, 18, 21, 23 and 29.		
Identification of prior art discussed: references of record		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) X was reached.	g)was not reached. h) _	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments (dated 16 March 2005) were discussed, and the case was thus deemed in condition for allowance.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked).	separate record of the substan	ace of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	(See MPEP Section 713.04 MONTH FROM THIS INTER). If a reply to the last Office VIEW DATE TO FILE A
	·	
	Mr	16
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required